

Cartan's equivalence method and null coframes in General Relativity

Emanuel Gallo* Mirta Iriondo† Carlos Kozameh‡

FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

Abstract

Using Cartan's equivalence method for point transformations we obtain from first principles the conformal geometry associated with third order ODEs and a special class of PDEs in two dimensions. We explicitly construct the null tetrads of a family of Lorentzian metrics, the conformal group in three and four dimensions and the so called normal metric connection. A special feature of this connection is that the non vanishing components of its torsion depend on one relative invariant, the (generalized) Wünschmann Invariant. We show that the above mentioned construction naturally contains the Null Surface Formulation of General Relativity.

1 Introduction

In 1983 a new formulation called Null Surface Formulation of General Relativity (or NSF for short) presented a radically different point of view of General Relativity where the emphasis shifted from a metric tensor on a 4-dim manifold to level surfaces and PDEs in two dimensions. In NSF, the conformal space time, i.e., a 4 dimensional manifold equipped with a conformal structure, arises from solutions of a pair of PDEs in a 2-dimensional space representing the sphere of null directions [1]. A necessary condition for the existence of a conformal metric is the so called “metricity condition”, whose geometric meaning was unknown until recently. The construction of the 3-dimensional version of NSF, a technically simpler problem than its higher dimensional analog [4], proved to be a key for a deeper understanding of the formalism. Since this construction revealed a very strong connection between General relativity and Cartan's equivalence method, it is very relevant to briefly review the 3-dimensional model.

Given the following ODE

$$u''' = F(u, u', u'', s), \quad (1)$$

*egallo@fis.uncor.edu

†mirta@fis.uncor.edu

‡kozameh@famaf.unc.edu.ar

(where the primes means derivatives of the s parameter) it is easy to see that its solution space is 3-dimensional. Denoting by x^a the local coordinates in the solution space, the solution to (1) can be written as

$$u = Z(x^a, s), \quad (2)$$

Moreover, one can construct the Pfaffian θ^i associated with (1) as

$$(\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3) \equiv (Z_{,a} dx^a, Z'_{,a} dx^a, Z''_{,a} dx^a)$$

together with a one-dimensional family of metrics (labelled by s)

$$g(s) = \theta^1 \theta^3 + \theta^3 \theta^1 - \theta^2 \theta^2.$$

where $\theta^1 = \omega^1$, $\theta^2 = \omega^2$ and θ^3 is a linear combination of the ω^i s.

By construction, the level surfaces of (2) are null. Thus, except for the fact that the induced metric depends on the parameter s , the construction of a space time and its conformal structure is contained in the solution $Z(x^a, s)$. It can be shown that when the function F satisfies a differential condition $I[F]=0$, the metric $g(s)$ satisfies

$$\frac{dg(s)}{ds} \propto g(s),$$

thus giving a unique conformal structure to the space time x^a . The metricity condition $I[F]=0$ is the 3-dimensional analog of the much more complicated equation obtained in 4 dimensions.

It was a great surprise to learn that the differential operator $I[F]$ was originally obtained by Wünschmann in 1905, and that the above underlined geometric construction was also previously obtained by E. Cartan in 1942 where he showed that two third order ODE's that are equivalent under a point transformation give rise to two geometries in the solution space that are isometric [5]. To obtain these results Cartan introduced a geometric structure in a 4-dim fiber-space with coordinates (x^a, s) and imposed algebraic and differential conditions on the so called normal metric connection. Since this approach is completely different from the standard Equivalence Method, it is useful to show the relationship between Cartan's original approach and the set of necessary and sufficient conditions that arise when the Equivalence Method is applied to this ODE. It is also worth mentioning that the normal metric connection approach was published in a journal of very little impact and was largely ignored by the mathematical community since it was not further developed for other ODEs or PDEs. As a result, it is very difficult for the average reader to see why the geometric construction of a so called normal metric connection is related to a third order ODE modulo point transformations. Only very recently the full construction of the geometric structure via the Equivalence Method has been completed for third order ODEs (see [6] and section 3) and, as it is done here, the approach can be extended to the much more involved problem in four dimensions.

Since our main motivation for this work is to understand the four dimensional geometric structure derived from the same pair of PDEs related to NSF, we apply the Equivalence Method to develop the geometry associated with these PDEs. The main issues addressed in

this work are: the symmetry group associated with the construction, the explicit construction of the null tetrad, the relative invariants and the associated connection. In particular, we show that the so called metricity condition is the vanishing of a relative invariant in the Equivalence Method. Most of these results here presented extend previous results obtained using a different approach [2] providing a straightforward construction with a clear geometrical meaning. It also generalizes recent work on a torsion free connection with a vanishing generalized Wüchsmann invariant [3]. This construction includes a torsion tensor constructed from the relative invariants of the equivalence problem. However, for historical and pedagogical reasons we briefly review and develop the 3-dimensional before addressing the technically involved 4-dim version.

This work is divided as follows. In Section 2 we briefly review Cartan's Equivalence Method applied to ODEs. In Section 3 we apply this method for 3rd order ODEs that are equivalent under point transformation constructing the invariants that are needed to develop the geometric structures. We then relate these results to the so called Null Surface Formulation of GR in three dimensions([4, 14, 2]), and show that the metricity condition is the vanishing of one of the invariants associated to the equivalence of these third order ODE's. In Section 4 we obtain the relative invariants from the pair of PDEs that yield Lorentzian metrics in four dimensions. We then use the invariants to construct a normal metric connection and show that when the so called generalized Wüchsmann invariant vanishes we recover the standard NSF. Finally, in the Conclusions we summarize our results and discuss some further work that could be very interesting to pursue.

2 Cartan's Equivalence Method

The so called Cartan's Equivalence Method allows one to find necessary and sufficient conditions for equivalence between coframes in n -dimensional manifolds M and $\widetilde{M}$ respectively.(see [7]).

Let M be a manifold of dimension n , and $\mathcal{F}(M)$ a frame bundle over M with structure group $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. By a G -structure $\mathcal{G}$, we understand a subbundle of $\mathcal{F}(M)$ over M with structure group $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Locally we have $\mathcal{G} \simeq M \times G$.

Definition. Let ω and $\widetilde{\omega}$ be coframes in n -dimensional manifolds M and $\widetilde{M}$ respectively. The G -valued equivalence problem for these coframes is to determine if there exists a local diffeomorphism $\Phi : M \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ and G -valued functions $g : M \longrightarrow G$ and $\widetilde{g} : \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow G$ such that $\Phi^* [\widetilde{g}(\widetilde{x}) \widetilde{\omega}] = g(x)\omega$.

A particular class of equivalence problem comes from n -order differential equations in s independent and r dependent variables. Let us concentrate in single n -order ODEs. In this case, $s = r = 1$ and the ODE reads

$$u^{(n)} = F(s, u, u', \dots, u^{(n-1)}),$$

where s is the independent parameter and $u^{(n)}$ denotes the n derivative of the dependent parameter u with respect to s (s and u take values on sets $\mathbb{X}$ and $\mathbb{U}$ respectively).

In this case, M is the $(n-1)$ -Jet space $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U})$, with local coordinates $(s, u, u', \dots, u^{(n-1)})$, and its associated coframe ω reads

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^1 &= du - u' ds, \\ \omega^2 &= du' - u'' ds, \\ &\vdots \\ \omega^n &= du^{(n-1)} - F ds, \\ \omega^{(n+1)} &= ds.\end{aligned}$$

There are three main transformations associated to the equivalence problem of ODEs:

- The contact transformations $\Phi : J^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U}) \longrightarrow J^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U})$, $(s, u, u') \rightarrow (\tilde{s}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}')$, with associated prolongation $p^{(n-1)}\Phi$ to $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U})$.
- The point transformation $\Phi : J^0(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U}) \longrightarrow J^0(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U})$, $(s, u) \rightarrow (\tilde{s}, \tilde{u})$, with associated prolongation $p^{(n-1)}\Phi$ to $J^{n-1}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{U})$.
- The fiber preserving transformation which are a subset of point transformation where the new independent parameter $\tilde{s}$ only depends on the old parameter s .

It is easy to show then, that the contact transformation gives rise to the equivalence problem $(p^{(n-1)}\Phi^*)\tilde{\theta} = \theta$, with

$$\theta = g\omega = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{\frac{n^2+n+2}{2}} & a_{\frac{n^2+n+4}{2}} & 0 & \dots & \dots & a_{\frac{n^2+n+6}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \vdots \\ \omega^n \\ \omega^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

with all the diagonal components different from zero. In the case of point transformations, $a_{\frac{n^2+n+4}{2}} = 0$, and in the fiber preserving case we have in addition that $a_{\frac{n^2+n+2}{2}} = 0$.

Let us compute the $d\theta$ and $d\tilde{\theta}$.

$$\begin{aligned}d\theta &= dg \wedge \omega + g d\omega \\ &= dg g^{-1} \wedge g \omega + g d\omega \\ &= \Pi \wedge \theta + T_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j,\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the coefficients T_{ij} are known as torsion elements, and $\Pi = dg g^{-1}$ is the matrix of Maurer Cartan forms π^A , which can be written as $\Pi_k^i = C_{kA}^i \pi^A$, with C_{kA}^i constant coefficients. In this notation small case indices run from 1 to n while capital indices run through the group dimension. Using index notation we can write (4) as

$$d\theta^i = C_{kA}^i \pi^A \wedge \theta^k + T_{jk}^i \theta^j \wedge \theta^k, \quad (5)$$

In a similar way, we have

$$d\tilde{\theta}^i = C_{kA}^i \tilde{\pi}^A \wedge \tilde{\theta}^k + \tilde{T}_{jk}^i \tilde{\theta}^j \wedge \tilde{\theta}^k. \quad (6)$$

Note that we write C_{kA}^i instead of $\tilde{C}_{kA}^i$ since the group parameters enter in identical way in g and $\tilde{g}$.

The idea in the Equivalence Method is to obtain as many group coefficients g ($\tilde{g}$) functionally dependent on the associated Pfaffian system ω ($\tilde{\omega}$) to obtain what is called a rigid co-frame where the solution to the equivalence problem is straightforward [7].

The first step in the solution of the equivalence problem is to note that if $\tilde{\theta} = \theta$, then $d\tilde{\theta} = d\theta$, where we have omitted the pullbacks for simplicity. It then follows that

$$\left[C_{kA}^i(\pi^A - \tilde{\pi}^A) + (T_{jk}^i - \tilde{T}_{jk}^i) \theta^j \right] \wedge \theta^k = 0. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, due to a Cartan lemma, there exist functions $f_{kj}^i = f_{jk}^i$ such that

$$\left[C_{kA}^i(\pi^A - \tilde{\pi}^A) + (T_{jk}^i - \tilde{T}_{jk}^i) \theta^j \right] = f_{kj}^i \theta^j. \quad (8)$$

The above equation implies that there exist functions λ_k^A such that

$$\tilde{\pi}^A = \pi^A + \lambda_k^A \theta^k, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{jk}^i = T_{jk}^i + C_{kA}^i \lambda_j^A - C_{jA}^i \lambda_k^A. \quad (10)$$

Eqs. (9) and (10) can be used to eliminate the maximal possible number of torsion components $\tilde{T}_{jk}^i$. This technique, one of the fundamentals in Cartan's equivalence method, is called absorbtion of the torsion. Let's suppose that no more absorbtion is possible. Then (5) reads

$$d\theta^i = C_{kA}^i \pi^A \wedge \theta^k + U_{jk}^i \theta^j \wedge \theta^k, \quad (11)$$

where the π^A are the new redefined Maurer-Cartan forms modulo θ^i , and $U_{jk}^i = U_{jk}^i(x, g)$, are the non absorbable components of the torsion known as essential torsion. This name is justified if we note that after absorbtion, they are linearly independent from the Maurer-Cartan forms, and thus,

$$(p^{n-1} \Phi^*) \tilde{U}_{jk}^i(\tilde{x}, \tilde{g}) = U_{jk}^i(x, g). \quad (12)$$

Then the essential torsion components are invariants of the problem for any choice of the group parameters.

The second technique in Cartan's algorithm is known as normalization. If possible, we can normalize each invariant to a constant (generally zero or one) and use this procedure to eliminate one of the group parameters. We then reduce the structure group by the number of "eliminated" parameters and obtain a new *normalized* coframe. This procedure is called a loop in Cartan's method. If the invariants (12) do not explicitly depend on the group parameters, they are true invariants of the problem, and they provide necessary conditions for the equivalence problem of two given coframes. We can continue applying the absorbtion and normalization techniques to the normalized coframe until we reach one of two possible scenarios. In the first case, we can successfully normalize all group parameters, and the problem is reduced to a $\{e\}$ -structure (i.e a structure where G consist in the identity). We have obtained an invariant coframe, and it can be shown (see [7]) that

from the true invariants and its derivatives, we can construct a maximal set of functionally independent invariants which form a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the equivalence problem.

In the second case, after a finite number of loops in the Cartan's method, we end up with a system where some group parameters are not determined, although no more normalization is possible. In this case, we must use the so called Cartan test of involution [7]. This test decides if the equivalence problem in question has an underlying infinite symmetry group (we say then that the system is in involution), or if the problem has a finite symmetry group. In the last case, we can apply the method known as prolongation.

Lets assume that we are able to determine all new π^A modulo some λ_j^A , i.e., the $\widetilde{\pi}^A$ can be written as

$$\widetilde{\pi}^A = \pi^A + \lambda_{Dj}^A \theta^j + \lambda_{Fj}^A \theta^j, \quad (13)$$

where λ_{Dj}^A are determined by the absorbtion procedure and the λ_{Fj}^A (free functions) are not determined by the procedure.

It can then be shown that to solve the original problem, namely to find the symmetry group together with the maximal set of invariants associated with the equivalence problem, it is equivalent to solve a problem where,

1. the free parameters of G become coordinates of an enlarged base space $M^{(1)} = M \times G$, and
2. the free functions λ_{Fj}^A become parameters of an enlarged group $G^{(1)}$.

We first extend the original coframe by including the new forms

$$\kappa^A = \pi^A + \lambda_{Dj}^A \theta^j, \quad (14)$$

On the base space $M^{(1)}$ we consider the system θ^i, κ^A , with the structure group given by

$$G^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I} & 0 \\ \lambda_{Fj}^A & \mathbb{I} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity on the respective space.

If we study this prolonged problem we can, in principle, normalize some or all free functions, (maybe applying the prolongation more than once), and in this way we can find all the necessary and sufficient invariants of the equivalence problem. For more details and applications of this method we refer to the Olver book [7].

3 The third order ODE

In this section we apply Cartan's equivalence method to find the class of equivalent third order ODEs under point transformations. This is a non trivial problem and the set of necessary and sufficient conditions for such a class have been obtained recently by P. Nurowski [8]. (A similar question for fibre preserving or contact transformations is also available in the literature([6, 9, 10, 11]). The results given in this section have been derived

independently and in a more restricted context to show the explicit steps that will later generalize to 4 dimensions.

We will say that the equation

$$u''' = F(u, u', u'', s), \quad (16)$$

is equivalent to

$$\tilde{u}''' = \tilde{F}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}', \tilde{u}'', \tilde{s}), \quad (17)$$

if there exist a point transformation

$$\tilde{s} = \xi(s, u), \quad (18)$$

$$\tilde{u} = \psi(s, u), \quad (19)$$

with prolongation

$$(s, u, w, r) \longrightarrow (\tilde{s}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{r}) = \left(\xi(s, u), \psi(s, u), \frac{\psi_s + w\psi_u}{\xi_s + w\xi_u}, \frac{w\tilde{w}_u + \tilde{w}_s + r\tilde{w}_w}{w\xi_u + \xi_s} \right)$$

which transforms one equation into the other. Note that we have made use of the following notation

$$(s, u, u', u'') = (s, u, w, r), \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{s}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}', \tilde{u}'') = (\tilde{s}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{r}), \quad (20)$$

to label the coordinates of $J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. When appropriate, we will also define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= (s, u, w, r), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}} &= (\tilde{s}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{r}), \\ \omega &= (\omega^1, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4), \\ \theta &= (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3, \theta^4). \end{aligned}$$

The Pfaffian system $\mathcal{P}$ associated to the equation (16) is

$$\omega^1 = du - w ds, \quad (21)$$

$$\omega^2 = dw - r ds, \quad (22)$$

$$\omega^3 = dr - F ds, \quad (23)$$

$$\omega^4 = ds, \quad (24)$$

and local solutions of (16) are in correspondence one to one with integral curves $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ of $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying $\gamma^* ds \neq 0$. These curves are generated by the vector field on $J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ given by

$$e_s = D = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + r \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + F \frac{\partial}{\partial r}. \quad (25)$$

We will restrict the domain of definition of F to a open neighborhood U of $J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ where F is C^∞ and the Cauchy problem is well posed. Then, it follows from Frobenius's theorem that the solution space M is a three dimensional C^∞ manifold, parametrized by the integration constants $x^a = (x^1, x^2, x^3)$.

The solution of eq. (16), $u = Z(s, x^a)$, induces a diffeomorphism $\zeta : M \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$

given by $(s, x^a) \longrightarrow (s, Z, Z', Z'')$. On $M \times \mathbb{R}$ the pullback of the Pfaffian forms w^i is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^1 &= Z_a dx^a, \\ \beta^2 &= Z'_a dx^a, \\ \beta^3 &= Z''_a dx^a, \\ \beta^4 &= ds.\end{aligned}$$

We now study the equivalence problem of (16) under point transformations $\Phi : J^0 \rightarrow J^0$. This problem gives the following equivalent problem of G -structures, $(p^2\Phi^*)\tilde{\theta} = \theta$, with

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta^1 \\ \theta^2 \\ \theta^3 \\ \theta^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & a_8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \\ \omega^4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

and a similar expression for $\tilde{\theta}$. In compact notation we write (26) as $\theta = g\omega$. Differentiating θ , we obtain

$$d\theta = dg \wedge \omega + g d\omega \quad (27)$$

$$= dg g^{-1} \wedge g \omega + g d\omega \quad (28)$$

$$= \Pi \wedge \theta + T_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j, \quad (29)$$

where $T_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j = g d\omega$ and

$$\Pi = dg g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^2 & \pi^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^4 & \pi^5 & \pi^6 & 0 \\ \pi^7 & 0 & 0 & \pi^8 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^1 &= \frac{da_1}{a_1}, \quad \pi^2 = \frac{da_2}{a_1} - \frac{da_3 a_2}{a_1 a_3}, \quad \pi^3 = \frac{da_3}{a_3}, \\ \pi^4 &= \frac{da_4}{a_1} - \frac{da_5 a_2}{a_1 a_3} - \frac{da_6(-a_2 a_5 + a_4 a_3)}{a_1 a_3 a_6}, \\ \pi^5 &= \frac{da_5}{a_3} - \frac{da_6 a_5}{a_3 a_6}, \quad \pi^6 = \frac{da_6}{a_6}, \quad \pi^7 = \frac{da_7}{a_1} - \frac{da_8 a_7}{a_1 a_8}, \quad \pi^8 = \frac{da_8}{a_8}.\end{aligned}$$

First Loop: From $\omega = g^{-1}\theta$, we obtain the following structure equations

$$d\theta^1 = \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 + T_{24}^1 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{21}^1 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^1 + T_{14}^1 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned}d\theta^2 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 + T_{24}^2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{21}^2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^1 \\ &\quad + T_{14}^2 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{34}^2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{31}^2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^1, \quad (31)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}d\theta^3 &= \pi^4 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^6 \wedge \theta^3 + T_{34}^3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 \\ &\quad + T_{21}^3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^1 + T_{14}^3 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{24}^3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{31}^3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^1, \quad (32)\end{aligned}$$

$$d\theta^4 = \pi^7 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^8 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{24}^4 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + T_{21}^4 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^1 + T_{14}^4 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4. \quad (33)$$

Using the freedom $\pi^A \rightarrow \pi^A + \lambda_j^A \theta^j$, many torsion coefficients can be absorbed. For example, choosing $\lambda_2^1 = -T_{21}^1$ and $\lambda_4^1 = T_{14}^1$ it is easy to see that $\tilde{T}_{21}^1 = \tilde{T}_{14}^1 = 0$. Omitting the $\sim$ for simplicity, the new equations read

$$d\theta^1 = \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 + T_{24}^1 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (34)$$

$$d\theta^2 = \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 + T_{34}^2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (35)$$

$$d\theta^3 = \pi^4 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^6 \wedge \theta^3, \quad (36)$$

$$d\theta^4 = \pi^7 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^8 \wedge \theta^4. \quad (37)$$

with $T_{24}^1 = -\frac{a_1}{a_3 a_8}$ and $T_{34}^2 = -\frac{a_3}{a_8 a_6}$. Normalizing $T_{24}^1 = -1$ and $T_{34}^2 = -1$, we determine a_6 and a_8 . The matrices g and Π become

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & a_5 & \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^2 & \pi^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^4 & \pi^5 & 2\pi^3 - \pi^1 & 0 \\ \pi^7 & 0 & 0 & \pi^1 - \pi^3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Second Loop: With the new matrix g we compute again the structure equations. Using the freedom in π^i to eliminate many torsion coefficients we obtain,

$$d\theta^1 = \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (39)$$

$$d\theta^2 = \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (40)$$

$$d\theta^3 = \pi^4 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^2 + (2\pi^3 - \pi^1) \wedge \theta^3 + T_{34}^3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (41)$$

$$d\theta^4 = \pi^7 \wedge \theta^1 + (\pi^1 - \pi^3) \wedge \theta^4, \quad (42)$$

with $T_{34}^3 = -\frac{3a_5 a_1 + a_3^2 F_r - 3a_2 a_3}{a_3 a_1}$. Normalizing the invariant $T_{34}^3 = 0$ we determine a_5 . The matrices g and Π become

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & 0 & 0 \\ a_4 & \frac{a_3 a_2}{a_1} - \frac{a_3^2 F_r}{3a_1} & \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} & 0 \\ a_7 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_3} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

and

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^2 & \pi^3 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^4 & \pi^2 - \frac{a_3 d(F_r)}{a_1} & 2\pi^3 - \pi^1 & 0 \\ \pi^7 & 0 & 0 & \pi^1 - \pi^3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (44)$$

Third Loop: Applying Cartan's method one more time and after a new absorption of the Torsion we get

$$d\theta^1 = \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (45)$$

$$d\theta^2 = \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (46)$$

$$d\theta^3 = \pi^4 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^2 \wedge \theta^2 + (2\pi^3 - \pi^1) \wedge \theta^3 + T_{24}^3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (47)$$

$$d\theta^4 = \pi^7 \wedge \theta^1 + (\pi^1 - \pi^3) \wedge \theta^4 + T_{24}^4 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (48)$$

$$\text{with } T_{24}^3 = -\frac{(2a_3^2 F_r^2 - 9a_2^2 + 18a_4 a_1 - 3a_3^2 D F_r + 9F_w a_3^2)}{9a_1^2}, \quad T_{24}^4 = -\frac{(6a_7 a_3 - F_{rr} a_1)}{6a_3 a_1}.$$

Normalizing $T_{24}^3 = T_{24}^4 = 0$ the elements a_4 and a_7 in matrices g and Π in equations (43) and π^4 and π^7 in (44) become

$$a_4 = \frac{a_3^2 D F_r}{6a_1} - \frac{F_w a_3^2}{2a_1} - \frac{F_r^2 a_3^2}{9a_1} + \frac{a_2^2}{2a_1},$$

$$a_7 = \frac{a_1 F_{rr}}{a_3},$$

$$\pi^4 = -\frac{(2a_3 F_r - 3a_2) a_3 d(F_r)}{9a_1^2} - \frac{a_3^2 d(F_w)}{2a_1^2} + \frac{a_3^2 d(D F_r)}{6a_1^2},$$

$$\pi^7 = \frac{d(F_{rr})}{6a_3}.$$

Fourth Loop: After absorbing the non essential components of the torsion the $d\theta^i$ read

$$d\theta^1 = \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (49)$$

$$d\theta^2 = \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (50)$$

$$d\theta^3 = \pi^2 \wedge \theta^2 + (2\pi^3 - \pi^1) \wedge \theta^3 + I_1 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4, \quad (51)$$

$$d\theta^4 = (\pi^1 - \pi^3) \wedge \theta^4 + I_2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^1 + I_3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^1, \quad (52)$$

where

$$I_1 = \frac{a_3^3}{a_1^3} \left(F_u - \frac{F_r D F_r}{3} + \frac{F_r F_w}{3} + \frac{2F_r^3}{27} - \frac{D F_w}{2} + \frac{D^2 F_r}{6} \right), \quad (53)$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{a_3^2} \left(F_{rrw} + \frac{F_{rrr} F_r}{3} + \frac{F_{rr}^2}{6} \right) - \frac{a_2}{a_1} I_3, \quad (54)$$

$$I_3 = \frac{a_1}{6a_3^3} F_{rrr}. \quad (55)$$

So far, we have three invariants whose vanishing do not depend on the group parameters. To solve the equivalence problem one must study different branches of the problem, i.e. different possible values of the invariants. One then follows a procedure called prolongation to find a maximal set of invariants which univocally characterize the equivalence problem. This problem was solved in [8], obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta^1 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \\
d\theta^2 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4, \\
d\theta^3 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^2 + (2\pi^3 - \pi^1) \wedge \theta^3 + I_1 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4, \\
d\theta^4 &= (\pi^1 - \pi^3) \wedge \theta^4 + I_2 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^1 + I_3 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^1, \\
d\pi^1 &= -\pi^2 \wedge \theta^4 + I_4 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + I_5 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + I_6 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - I_3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \\
d\pi^2 &= (\pi^3 - \pi^1) \wedge \pi^2 + I_7 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + I_8 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + I_9 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 \\
&\quad + I_{10} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + I_{11} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \\
d\pi^3 &= \frac{I_8 + I_4}{2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + 2(I_5 - I_{10}) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + I_{11} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 \\
&\quad - 2I_3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

with $I_1 - I_{11}$ explicit functionals of F .

3.1 The normal metric connection

Following Cartan [5], in this section we introduce geometrical structures that are naturally induced by the Pfaffian system associated with the 3rd. order ODE. These new structures give us an alternative method to show equivalence between ODEs that are related by a point transformation. We emphasize again that this method is apparently very different from the so called Equivalence Method. Only at the end of the section we show that both methods are equivalent.

We first introduce a class of metrics on the solution space and then a generalized connection with certain conditions imposed on its torsion and curvature

We recall that after completion of the four loops we have the following pfaffian forms equivalent to the original:

$$\theta^1 = a_1 \omega^1, \tag{57}$$

$$\theta^2 = a_2 \omega^1 + a_3 \omega^2, \tag{58}$$

$$\theta^3 = \left(\frac{a_2^2}{2a_1} + \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} a \right) \omega^1 + \left(\frac{a_3 a_2}{a_1} + \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} b \right) \omega^2 + \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} \omega^3, \tag{59}$$

$$\theta^4 = \frac{a_1}{a_3} (c \omega^1 + \omega^4), \tag{60}$$

with

$$a = -\frac{1}{2}F_w - \frac{1}{9}F_r^2 + \frac{1}{6}DF_r, \quad b = -\frac{1}{3}F_r, \quad c = \frac{1}{6}F_{rr},$$

and where a_1, a_2, a_3 are arbitrary functions on $J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Following Cartan, we define the following basis

$$\theta_c^1 = \omega^1, \tag{61}$$

$$\theta_c^2 = \omega^2, \tag{62}$$

$$\theta_c^3 = a \omega^1 + b \omega^2 + \omega^3, \tag{63}$$

$$\theta_c^4 = c \omega^1 + \omega^4. \tag{64}$$

Note that this basis only depends on the associated third order ODE. In this sense it is an invariant basis with respect to the subgroup of G with parameters a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 .

The forms (57-60) can be written as

$$\theta^1 = a_1 \theta_c^1, \quad (65)$$

$$\theta^2 = a_3 \left(\theta_c^2 + \frac{a_2}{a_3} \theta_c^1 \right), \quad (66)$$

$$\theta^3 = \frac{a_3^2}{a_1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{a_2}{a_3} \right)^2 \theta_c^1 + \frac{a_2}{a_3} \theta_c^2 + \theta_c^3 \right], \quad (67)$$

$$\theta^4 = \frac{a_1}{a_3} \theta_c^4. \quad (68)$$

Using the three 1-forms $\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3$ we can construct a quadratic differential form on $J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$,

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = 2\theta^{(1} \otimes \theta^{3)} - \theta^2 \otimes \theta^2 = \eta_{ij} \theta^i \otimes \theta^j, \quad (69)$$

where

$$\eta_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The map $\zeta : M \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ discussed in section 3 introduces a quadratic form on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, namely

$$h(x^a, s) = \zeta^* h. \quad (70)$$

This form can be interpreted as a 1-parameter (s being the parameter) family of lorentzian conformal metrics on M . Furthermore, defining

$$h_c(x^a, s) = \zeta^* (\eta_{ij} \theta_c^i \otimes \theta_c^j), \quad (71)$$

we have

$$h(x^a, s) = \zeta^* [a_3^2 h_c(\mathbf{x})] = \Omega^2 h_c(x^a, s). \quad (72)$$

Hence, we can interpret the $\zeta^* \theta^i$ as a family of null triads which live in the solution space M associated to the 3rd order ODE's. Moreover, we can see that a_1, a_2, a_3 are parameters in a group G which plays a similar role as in the conformal Lorentz group $CO(2, 1)$:

- a_1 play the role of a boost λ in the direction of the null vector e_1 dual to θ^1 .
- $\frac{a_2}{a_3}$ is a null rotation γ around e_1 .
- a_3 is a conformal factor Ω applied to the triad.

Later we will see that if $I_1 = 0$, then we will have that $G = CO(2, 1)$, with the parameter s as a space-like rotation applied to the triads which make all metrics in the family conformal to each other.

Remark 1 *From now on, to simplify the expressions, we will not write the pull-back ζ^* . For example we will write θ^i instead of $\zeta^* \theta^i$.*

So far we have constructed a 1-parameter family of conformal metrics on the solution space of a third order ODE. Let us go beyond, and add more geometrical structures compatible with this conformal family of metrics. In particular, we will define in a univocal way a generalized connection on $J^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ associated with the null forms θ^i with $i = 1, 2, 3$ which characterizes the equivalence of third order ODEs under point transformations. This generalized connection satisfies three conditions:

I) It is a Weyl connection, i.e:

$$\omega_{ij} = \eta_{ik}\omega_j^k = \omega_{[ij]} + \eta_{ij}A, \quad (73)$$

with A a 1-form

$$A = A_i \theta^i + A_4 \theta^4. \quad (74)$$

II) Its associated torsion has a vanishing projection on the base space (with coordinates x^a).

III) Its fiber part (coordinatized by the parameter s) only depends on the nontrivial invariants of the equivalence problem, i.e.,

$$T^1 = d\theta^1 + \omega_j^1 \wedge \theta^j = 0, \quad (75)$$

$$T^2 = d\theta^2 + \omega_j^2 \wedge \theta^j = 0, \quad (76)$$

$$T^3 = d\theta^3 + \omega_j^3 \wedge \theta^j = I_1 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 \quad (77)$$

The reader should distinguish between the torsion of the connection introduced in the above equations and the torsion coefficients defined in the previous sections. They have no relationship at all but are given identical names in the mathematical literature.

Remark 2 Note that the ω_j^i have components in all θ^A , i.e.:

$$\omega_j^i = \omega_{jh}^i \theta^h + \omega_{j4}^i \theta^4. \quad (78)$$

Thus, it is not a standard connection defined on M .

Remark 3 The invariants can be written in terms of a, b, c as follow:

$$I_1 = -\frac{a_3^3}{a_1^3} (F_u + 2ab + Da), \quad (79)$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{a_3^2} (c_w - c_r b + c^2) - \frac{a_2}{a_1} I_3, \quad (80)$$

$$I_3 = \frac{a_1}{a_3^3} c_r. \quad (81)$$

In fact they can be written only in terms of a and b , but using a, b and c , the expressions look more compact.

Since a_1, a_2, a_3 parameterize the group G , the connection can be written modulo a gauge induced by these group. If we take $a_2 = 0$ and $a_1 = a_3 = 1$, then the correspondent connection one form will be denoted by $\tilde{\omega}$. If we want to write the connection in another gauge, we use

$$\omega = g \tilde{\omega} g^{-1} + dg g^{-1}, \quad (82)$$

where g is an element of G .

In this gauge, the invariants reads:

$$I_1 = -(F_u + 2ab + Da), \quad (83)$$

$$I_2 = c_w - c_r b + c^2, \quad (84)$$

$$I_3 = c_r. \quad (85)$$

The connection that satisfies the three conditions is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{[12]} &= (-b_u - 3ca + a_w - a_r b) \theta_c^1 + (cb + A_1) \theta_c^2 + (c + A_2) \theta_c^3 + a \theta_c^4, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{[13]} &= (a_r - 2cb - A_1) \theta_c^1 - c \theta_c^2 + A_3 \theta_c^3 + b \theta_c^4, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{[23]} &= (-2c - A_2) \theta_c^1 - A_3 \theta_c^2 + \theta_c^4, \\ A &= A_1 \theta_c^1 + A_2 \theta_c^2 + A_3 \theta_c^3 + b \theta_c^4. \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Note that the space components of A are still undetermined and that the remaining invariants I_2, I_3 (which appear in $d\theta^4$) still need to be included in the geometry. From the above expression for $\tilde{\omega}_{[ij]}$ it is easy to see that the corresponding curvature 2-form

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{ij} = d\tilde{\omega}_{ij} + \tilde{\omega}_{ik} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_j^k,$$

will include I_2, I_3 . Thus, if we impose

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{23} = d\tilde{\omega}_{23} + \eta^{3i} \tilde{\omega}_{ih} \wedge \tilde{\omega}_{h2} \quad (87)$$

$$= I_2 \theta_c^2 \wedge \theta_c^1 + I_3 \theta_c^3 \wedge \theta_c^1, \quad (88)$$

we immediately obtain $A_1 = Dc - 2cb + a_r, A_2 = -2c, A_3 = 0$.

In summary, we have constructed in a univocal way a connection ω_{ij} such that

$$T^1 = 0, \quad (89)$$

$$T^2 = 0, \quad (90)$$

$$T_3 = I_1 \theta_c^1 \wedge \theta_c^4, \quad (91)$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{23} = I_2 \theta_c^2 \wedge \theta_c^1 + I_3 \theta_c^3 \wedge \theta_c^1. \quad (92)$$

The skew part of this connection reads,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_{[12]} &= (-3ca + a_w - a_r b - b_u) \theta_c^1 + (-cb + Dc + a_r) \theta_c^2 - c \theta_c^3 + a \theta_c^4, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{[13]} &= -Dc \theta_c^1 - c \theta_c^2 + b \theta_c^4, \\ \tilde{\omega}_{[23]} &= \theta_c^4, \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

with the Weyl form,

$$A = (Dc + a_r - 2cb) \theta_c^1 - 2c \theta_c^2 + b \theta_c^4. \quad (94)$$

The complete set of Torsion and Curvature forms can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
T^1 &= 0, \\
T^2 &= 0, \\
T^3 &= I_1 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4, \\
\tilde{\Omega}_{23} &= I_2 \theta_c^2 \wedge \theta_c^1 + I_3 \theta_c^3 \wedge \theta_c^1, \\
\tilde{\Omega}_{13} &= I_4 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + I_5 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + I_6 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - I_3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \\
\tilde{\Omega}_{12} &= I_7 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + I_8 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + I_9 \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + I_{10} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + I_{11} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4, \\
\tilde{\Omega}_{22} &= \frac{I_8 + I_4}{2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + 2(I_5 - I_{10}) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + I_{11} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 - 2I_3 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3.
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

This connection was first introduced by Cartan in ([5]), and was called normal metric connection. In that work, the steps followed to construct the connection were not clear a priori, only a posteriori one could see its intrinsic meaning. Now, with the help of the Cartan equivalence method and its associated invariants (see eq. 56), it is clear that the normal metric connection is in one to one correspondence with 3rd order ODEs that are equivalent under point transformations.

In particular, the vanishing of the invariant I_1 , known as Wunschmann invariant [12], yields a special class of ODEs that are related to Conformal Gravity, as is shown in the Null surface formulation of General Relativity([1, 4, 13, 15, 16, 2]) or to Einstein Weyl spaces in 3D [17], as we briefly summarize in the next section.

3.2 NSF and Einstein-Weyl spaces in 3D

Now, we briefly review some special cases from these geometries associated to third order ODEs.

Let us calculate the Lie derivative of h_c in the direction of e_s . If we have a space with a metric connection, it is easily shown that we can rewrite the Lie derivative as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_s} h_c = -2A_4 h_c + 2\eta_{k(i} T_{j)4}^k \theta^i \otimes \theta^j. \tag{96}$$

Then, in the case of a normal metric connection presented above, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_s} h_c = -2b h_c + I_1 \theta_c^1 \otimes \theta_c^1, \tag{97}$$

and if we restrict the class of ODEs to those that satisfy

$$I_1 = F_u + 2ab + \frac{da}{ds} = 0, \tag{98}$$

we have a solution space where all lorentzian-metrics $g = (\zeta^{-1})^* h$ in the 1-parameter family are equivalent to each other, i.e. we can choose the conformal factor $\Omega = (\zeta^{-1})^* a_3$ as

$$D\Omega = -[(\zeta^{-1})^* b] \Omega, \tag{99}$$

and then we have that $\tilde{h} = \Omega^2 h$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_s} \tilde{h} = 0. \tag{100}$$

In this case $G = CO(2, 1)$. The differential condition $I_1 = 0$ provides the kinematics for the Null Surface Formulation of Weyl spaces. This condition is known as “metricity condition” and I_1 is known as Wunschmann invariant [12]. Solutions $F(u, w, r, s)$ to the metricity condition allow us to construct a class of diffeomorphic conformal lorentzian metrics, and solutions $u = Z(x^a, s)$ to $u''' = F(u, w, r, s)$, have the property that their level surfaces $Z(x^a, s) = \text{const}$, are null surfaces of these conformal metrics. In particular, we can select a Levi-Civita connection if we require that F be such that we can find a function f such that $A_i = \text{grad } f$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Finally, it was shown by Tod [17] following Cartan that if we require the null surfaces to be totally geodesic then we have another extra condition (in addition to $I_1 = 0$) on the ODE such that from any solution to these conditions we can automatically construct all Einstein-Weyl spaces. This new condition follows from

$$e_s \lrcorner dA = 0, \quad (101)$$

and the condition reads

$$J(F) = 2 \frac{d^2 c}{ds^2} + \frac{d}{ds} (b_w) - b_u = 0. \quad (102)$$

Particular solutions to these ODEs that yield Einstein-Weyl spaces can be found in [17]. In particular, Tod showed that if $F_{rrr} = 0$ then $dA = 0$, and from this, we have all conformal Einstein spaces. It is important to remark that had we used contact transformations instead of point transformations, then we should have obtained a natural Cartan Normal conformal connection([14]). The same result is obtained in the case of contact transformations if we start with a pair of second order PDE's, and then we get all conformal Lorentzian-Weyl geometries in four dimensions [3].

4 Pairs of Partial Differential Equations

In this section we study the geometry associated with the following pair of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ss} &= S(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*), \\ Z_{s^*s^*} &= S^*(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*), \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

where s is a complex variable and $S(Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*)$ satisfies the integrability condition

$$D^2 S^* = D^{*2} S, \quad (104)$$

and the weak inequality

$$1 - S_R S_R^* > 0. \quad (105)$$

The symbols D, D^* in the above expressions denote total derivatives in the s and s^* directions respectively and their action on an arbitrary function $H = H(Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*)$, is

defined as

$$\frac{dH}{ds} \equiv DH \equiv H_s + WH_Z + SH_W + RH_{W^*} + TH_R, \quad (106)$$

$$\frac{dH}{ds^*} \equiv D^*H \equiv H_{s^*} + W^*H_Z + RH_W + S^*H_{W^*} + T^*H_R, \quad (107)$$

where

$$T = D^*S, \quad T^* = DS^*.$$

From the weak inequality and the Frobenius theorem one can show that the solutions $Z = Z(x^a, s, s^*)$ of (103) depend on four parameters, namely x^a . Hence the solution space (the space of constants of integration) is a four-dimensional space.

We now consider the problem of equivalent second order PDEs under the group of point transformations.

Let $\mathbf{x} = (Z, Z_s, Z_{s^*}, Z_{ss^*}, s, s^*) \equiv (Z, W, W^*, R, s, s^*)$. As we did in the preceding section, we identify the spaces $(x^a) \Leftrightarrow (Z, W, W^*, R)$ for any values of (s, s^*) and we treat this relationship as a coordinate transformation between the two sets. Their exterior derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} dZ &= Z_a dx^a + W ds + W^* ds^*, \\ dW &= W_a dx^a + S ds + R ds^*, \\ dW^* &= W_a^* dx^a + R ds + S^* ds^*, \\ dR &= R_a dx^a + T ds + T^* ds^*, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

can be rewritten as the Pfaffian forms of six one-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^1 &= dZ - W ds - W^* ds^*, \\ \omega^2 &= dW - S ds - R ds^*, \\ \omega^3 &= dW^* - R ds - S^* ds^*, \\ \omega^4 &= dR - T ds - T^* ds^*, \\ \omega^5 &= ds, \\ \omega^6 &= ds^*. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

The vanishing of the four $\omega^i, i = 1 - 4$ is equivalent to the PDEs of Eqs. (103). The point transformation $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \phi(\mathbf{x})$ gives $\theta = g \omega$, where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2^* & a_4^* & a_3^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_5 & a_6 & a_6^* & a_7 & 0 & 0 \\ a_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_9 & a_{10} \\ a_8^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{10}^* & a_9^* \end{pmatrix},$$

with a_1, a_5 and a_7 real functions. Differentiating θ , we obtain

$$d\theta = dg \wedge \omega + g d\omega \quad (110)$$

$$= dg g^{-1} \wedge g \omega + g d\omega \quad (111)$$

$$= \Pi \wedge \theta + T_{ij} \theta^i \wedge \theta^j, \quad (112)$$

where $T_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j = g d\omega$,

$$\Pi = dg g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^2 & \pi^3 & \pi^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^{*2} & \pi^{*4} & \pi^{*3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^5 & \pi^6 & \pi^{*6} & \pi^7 & 0 & 0 \\ \pi^8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi^9 & \pi^{10} \\ \pi^{*8} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi^{*10} & \pi^{*9} \end{pmatrix}.$$

After absorbing some torsion components we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta^1 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 + I_1 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6 - I_2 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 + I_1^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5 - I_2^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6, \\ d\theta^2 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^4 \wedge \theta^3 + I_3 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6 - I_4 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5, \\ d\theta^3 &= \pi^{*2} \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^3 + \pi^4 \wedge \theta^2 + I_3^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5 - I_4^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6, \\ d\theta^4 &= \pi^7 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^6 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^{*6} \wedge \theta^3, \\ d\theta^5 &= \pi^{10} \wedge \theta^6 + \pi^9 \wedge \theta^5 + \pi^8 \wedge \theta^1, \\ d\theta^6 &= \pi^{*10} \wedge \theta^5 + \pi^{*9} \wedge \theta^6 + \pi^{*8} \wedge \theta^1. \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{a_1(a_4 a_{10} + a_3 a_9)}{(a_9^* a_9 - a_{10} a_{10}^*)(a_4^* a_4 - a_3^* a_3)}, & I_2 &= \frac{a_1(a_4 a_9^* + a_3 a_{10}^*)}{(a_9^* a_9 - a_{10} a_{10}^*)(a_4^* a_4 - a_3^* a_3)}, \\ I_3 &= \frac{a_4 a_{10} - a_3 a_9 + a_3 a_{10} S_R - a_4 a_9 S_R^*}{a_7(a_9^* a_9 - a_{10} a_{10}^*)}, & I_4 &= \frac{a_4 a_9^* - a_3 a_{10}^* + a_3 a_9^* S_R - a_4 a_{10}^* S_R^*}{a_7(a_9^* a_9 - a_{10} a_{10}^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing the invariants $I_1 = I_3 = 0$ and $I_2 = I_4 = 1$, the matrix g becomes

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & a_3 & a_3 b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2^* & a_3^* b^* & a_3^* & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_5 & a_6 & a_6^* & \frac{a_3^* a_3}{\alpha^2 a_1} & 0 & 0 \\ a_8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-b a_1}{a_3^*(1-bb^*)} & \frac{a_1}{a_3^*(1-bb^*)} \\ a_8^* & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a_1}{a_3(1-bb^*)} & \frac{-b^* a_1}{a_3(1-bb^*)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b = \frac{\sqrt{1 - S_R S_R^*} - 1}{S_R^*}$ and $\alpha^2 = \frac{1 + bb^*}{(1 - bb^*)^2}$.

Thus, π^4, π^7, π^9 and π^{10} are functionals of a_1, a_2, a_3 , and S .

After absorbing some torsion coefficients, the second loop gives the following structure equations

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta^1 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^2 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5 + I_5 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^3 + I_6 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 + I_7 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^3 &= \pi^{*2} \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6 + I_5^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^2 + I_6^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6 + I_7^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5, \\
d\theta^4 &= -\pi^1 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^6 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^{*6} \wedge \theta^3 \\
&\quad + 2I_6^* \theta^5 \wedge \theta^4 + 2I_6 \theta^6 \wedge \theta^4, \\
d\theta^5 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^5 - \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^5 + \pi^8 \wedge \theta^1 + I_5 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6 + I_8 \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5 + I_8^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6 \\
&\quad + I_9 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^6 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^6 - \pi^3 \wedge \theta^6 + \pi^{*8} \wedge \theta^1 + I_5^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5 + I_8^* \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6 + I_8 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 \\
&\quad + I_9^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5.
\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

The invariants are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &= \frac{a_1 \alpha^2 b_R}{(a_3^*)^2 (1 - bb^*)}, \\
I_6 &= \frac{1}{1 - bb^*} \left(\frac{a_6^*}{a_3^*} - \frac{a_6 b}{a_3^*} - \frac{a_2 (1 - bb^*)}{a_1} - \frac{a_3 (a^* - ab)}{a_1} \right), \\
I_7 &= -\frac{a_3^2 \mathcal{W}}{a_1 a_3^*}, \\
I_8 &= \frac{a_2 \alpha^2 b_R^*}{(a_3)^2 (1 - bb^*)} + \frac{b_W^* b - b_{W^*}^*}{a_3 (1 - bb^*)^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 b_R^* (a^* - ab)}{a_3 (1 - bb^*)^2} - \frac{a_8^*}{a_1}, \\
I_9 &= \frac{a_2 \alpha^2 b_R}{(a_3^*)^2 (1 - bb^*)} + \frac{b_W b - b_{W^*}}{(1 - bb^*)^2} + \frac{a_3 \alpha^2 b_R (a^* - ab)}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= b^* S_W + b S_{W^*}^* + \frac{Db^* + b D^* b^* + (b^*)^2 Db + b^* D^* b}{1 - bb^*}, \\
\mathcal{W} &= \frac{1}{1 - bb^*} (Db - b S_W + S_{W^*} + b(D^* b + b S_{W^*}^* - b^2 S_W^*)).
\end{aligned}$$

The expression $\mathcal{W}$ is known as the generalized Wünschmann invariant.

Choosing $I_6 = I_8 = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
a_6 &= \frac{a a_3 a_3^* + a_3 a_2^* + b^* a_3^* a_2}{a_1}, \\
a_8 &= \frac{a_1 a_2^* \alpha^2 b_R}{(a_3^*)^2 (1 - bb^*)} + \frac{a_1 (b_{W^*} b^* - b_W)}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)^2} + \frac{a_1 \alpha^2 b_R (a - a^* b^*)}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the structure equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta^1 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^2 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5 + I_5 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^3 + I_7 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^3 &= \pi^{*2} \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6 + I_5^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^2 + I_7^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5, \\
d\theta^4 &= -\pi^1 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^6 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^{*6} \wedge \theta^3, \\
d\theta^5 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^5 - \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^5 + \pi^8 \wedge \theta^1 + I_5 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6 + I_9 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^6 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^6 - \pi^3 \wedge \theta^6 + \pi^{*8} \wedge \theta^1 + I_5^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5 + I_9^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5.
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

Replacing a_6 and a_8 in the matrix g fixes π^6 and π^8 .

Computing again the third loop and after the absorption of the torsion gives the following structure equations for $d\theta^i$, $i = 1...4$ (the remaining exterior derivatives have been omitted since they are not used to obtain the null tetrad and torsion tensor),

$$\begin{aligned}
d\theta^1 &= \pi^1 \wedge \theta^1 - \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 - \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^2 &= \pi^2 \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^2 - \theta^4 \wedge \theta^5 + I_5 \theta^4 \wedge \theta^3 + I_7 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6, \\
d\theta^3 &= \pi^{*2} \wedge \theta^1 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^3 - \theta^4 \wedge \theta^6 + I_5^* \theta^4 \wedge \theta^2 + I_7^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5, \\
d\theta^4 &= -\pi^1 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^2 \wedge \theta^2 + \pi^{*2} \wedge \theta^3 + \pi^3 \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^{*3} \wedge \theta^4 + \pi^5 \wedge \theta^1 \\
&\quad + I_{10} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6 + I_{10}^* \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 + I_{11} \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6 + I_{11}^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5,
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

where

$$I_{10} = 2 \left(\frac{a_5}{a_1} - \frac{a_2 a_2^*}{a_1^2} - \frac{a_3 a_3^*}{\alpha^2 a_1^2} c \right) + i \operatorname{Im}[I_{10}], \tag{117}$$

$$I_{11} = \frac{a_3^* a_3^2 (1 - bb^*)}{a_1^2 (1 + bb^*)} (b^2 \Delta^* - \Delta) - 2\mathcal{W} \frac{a_3^2}{a_1^2} \left(a_2^* - \frac{a_3^* b^* (a^* - ab)}{1 - (bb^*)^2} \right), \tag{118}$$

with c a real function

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= -\frac{Da + D^* a^* + T_W + T_W^*}{4} - \frac{aa^* (1 + 6bb^* + b^2 b^{*2})}{2(1 + bb^*)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{(1 + bb^*)(bS_Z^* + b^* S_Z)}{2(1 - bb^*)^2} + \frac{a(2ab - b^* S_{W^*}) + a^*(2a^* b^* - bS_W^*)}{2(1 + bb^*)} \\
&\quad + \alpha^4 [2b^* (a - a^* b^*) \mathcal{W} + 2b (a^* - ab) \mathcal{W}^*],
\end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

and $\operatorname{Im}[I_{10}]$, the imaginary part of I_{10} ,

$$i \operatorname{Im}[I_{10}] = \frac{a_3 a_3^* \alpha^2}{a_1^2} [2b^* (a - a^* b^*) \mathcal{W} - 2b (a^* - ab) \mathcal{W}^* \tag{120}$$

$$+ (1 - bb^*)^2 (\Gamma - \Gamma^*) - 2 (1 - (bb^*)^2) (b^* \Delta - b \Delta^*)], \tag{121}$$

and where Δ and Γ are explicit function of W , W^* and its derivatives, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta &= -\alpha^2 b \mathcal{W} \mathcal{W}^* + 2(1 + bb^*)^{-1} \{ \rho(1 - bb^*) + \nu[a^* b^* + a(1 - bb^* - b^2 b^{*2})] \\
&\quad + b^2 \rho^* (1 - bb^*) + b^2 \nu^* [ab + a^* (1 - bb^* - b^2 b^{*2})] \} \\
\Gamma &= -b^* [4\rho + 2\nu(2abb^* + a^* b^* + 3a)],
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho &= \frac{\alpha^2}{2(1 - bb^*)} \{ b^* D\mathcal{W} + D^* \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{W}(b^{*2} S_{W^*} - 2b^* S_W - 2b S_W^* + S_{W^*}^*) \}, \\
\nu &= \frac{\mathcal{W}}{1 - (bb^*)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (117) and taking into account that a_5 must be a real parameter, we can solve for a_5 demanding the vanishing of the real part of I_{10} , i.e., by doing $\text{Re}[I_{10}] = 0$. We find that

$$a_5 = \frac{1}{a_1} \left(a_2 a_2^* + \frac{a_3 a_3^*}{\alpha^2} c \right). \quad (122)$$

Remark 4 *Note that with this choice of a_5 , we have that I_{10} and I_{11} depend only on $\mathcal{W}$, $\mathcal{W}^*$ and its derivatives.*

Remark 5 *After the third loop we have fixed some parameters and others remain free. The free parameters are $a_1, a_2, a_2^*, a_3, a_3^*$, and the fixed ones are:*

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 &= ba_3, \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{a_1} \left(a_2 a_2^* + \frac{a_3 a_3^*}{\alpha^2} c \right), \\ a_6 &= \frac{aa_3 a_3^* + \alpha^2 (a_3 a_2 + b^* a_3^* a_2)}{\alpha^2 a_1}, \\ a_7 &= \frac{a_3 a_3^*}{\alpha^2 a_1}, \\ a_8 &= \frac{a_1 a_2^* \alpha^2 b_R}{(a_3^*)^2 (1 - bb^*)} + \frac{a_1 (b_{W^*} b^* - b_W)}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)^2} + \frac{a_1 \alpha^2 b_R (a - a^* b^*)}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)^2} \\ a_9 &= \frac{-ba_1}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)}, \\ a_{10} &= \frac{a_1}{a_3^* (1 - bb^*)}. \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

We could continue applying Cartan's equivalence method, prolonging and studying the different branches, but for our purposes it will not be needed.

Remark 6 *It is important to remark that with Cartan's method we have showed that $\mathcal{W}$ is a relative invariant under point transformations (a subset of the contact transformations). It also follows from our construction that $\mathcal{W}$ is a relative invariant under contact transformation since the proof is identical up to the loop presented in this work. (For a different proof see [13]).*

Note that a_1 is a real parameter, whereas a_2 and a_3 are complex. Their geometrical meaning can be emphasized if they are rewritten as

$$a_1 = \mu, \quad a_2 = \Omega \gamma e^{i\psi}, \quad a_3 = \Omega \alpha e^{i\psi}.$$

with real parameters μ, Ω and ψ and a complex γ .

5 Null coframes

Following the last section, we write

$$a_1 = \mu, \quad a_2 = \Omega \gamma e^{i\psi}, \quad a_3 = \Omega \alpha e^{i\psi}, \quad a_4 = \Omega \alpha e^{i\psi} b,$$

$$a_5 = \frac{\Omega^2}{\mu} (\gamma\gamma^* + c), \quad a_6 = \frac{\Omega^2}{\mu} (\alpha\gamma + \alpha b^*\gamma + a), \quad a_7 = \frac{\Omega^2}{\mu}.$$

Defining

$$\theta_c^1 = \omega^1, \quad (124)$$

$$\theta_c^2 = \alpha(\omega^2 + b\omega^3), \quad (125)$$

$$\theta_c^3 = \alpha(\omega^3 + b^*\omega^2),$$

$$\theta_c^4 = \omega^4 + a\omega^2 + a^*\omega^3 + c\omega^1. \quad (126)$$

we can write the forms θ^i in a similar manner as in 3D,

$$\theta^1 = \mu\theta_c^1, \quad (127)$$

$$\theta^2 = \Omega e^{i\psi} (\theta_c^2 + \gamma\theta_c^1), \quad (128)$$

$$\theta^3 = \Omega e^{-i\psi} (\theta_c^3 + \gamma^*\theta_c^1), \quad (129)$$

$$\theta^4 = \frac{\Omega^2}{\mu} (\theta_c^4 + \gamma\theta_c^3 + \gamma^*\theta_c^2 + \gamma\gamma^*\theta_c^1). \quad (130)$$

We define the quadratic form over $J^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$,

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = 2\theta^{(1} \otimes \theta^{4)} - 2\theta^{(2} \otimes \theta^{3)} = \eta_{ij}\theta^i \otimes \theta^j, \quad (131)$$

with

$$\eta_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (132)$$

This quadratic form induces a two-parameter family of lorentzian conformal metrics in the solution space M. The forms θ^i yield a null tetrad, and the parameters Ω, μ, ψ and γ acquire geometrical meaning:

- μ plays the role of a boost in the direction of the null vector e_1 dual to θ^1 .
- γ and γ^* parametrize a null rotation around e_1 .
- $e^{i\psi}$ is a space-like rotation around a fixed axis in the celestial sphere.
- Ω is a conformal factor applied to the tetrad.

Remark 7 *Using the definition of the quadratic form together with the exterior derivatives of the θ^i in eq. (125) it is straightforward to show that*

$$\mathcal{L}_{e_s} h \propto h + F[\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^*], \quad \mathcal{L}_{e_{s^*}} h \propto h + F^*[\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^*], \quad (133)$$

where the tensor F is a functional of $\mathcal{W}$ and its derivatives that vanishes when $W = 0$. Then if $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^* = 0$, G becomes the conformal group $CO(3, 1)$, with s and s^* space-like

rotation parameters. All the metrics in the family are conformal to each other.

In an equivalent way to the three dimensional problem we can introduce a connection and associated torsion that satisfy requirements I, II and III. It is straightforward to show that the Torsion has the form

$$\begin{aligned} T^1 &= 0, \\ T^2 &= I_7 \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6, \\ T^3 &= I_7^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5, \\ T^4 &= I_{10} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^6 + I_{10}^* \theta^3 \wedge \theta^5 + I_{11} \theta^3 \wedge \theta^6 + I_{11}^* \theta^2 \wedge \theta^5. \end{aligned} \quad (134)$$

Remark 8 *It follows from the above equations that the vanishing of $\mathcal{W}$, the generalized Wünschmann invariant, gives a torsion free connection. Moreover, using remark 7 we recover NSF.*

Note that, as in the 3-dim case, the skew part of the connection is completely determined from (134). We could, in principle, also determine the Weyl part of the connection following a similar procedure as in the 3-dim case. Associated with the five undetermined a_i we have the corresponding π_i . The seven two-forms $(d\pi_i, d\theta_5, d\theta_6)$ can be used to construct the seven curvature components $(\Omega_{[ij]}, dA)$. In this case, the algebraic restriction on the curvature components arise from the invariants contained in $(d\theta_5, d\theta_6)$ in an equivalent way to eq.(88). Assuming this program can be completed we would then have the result that a normal metric connection in 4-dim is in one to one correspondence with pairs of PDEs of the form (103) that are equivalent under point transformations.

Note also that if we consider the problem of contact transformations we should end up with a higher dimensional group that includes the translations. In this last case the spatial part of the Weyl form will not be determined by our construction.

6 Conclusions

In this work we have used the powerful method developed by Cartan to study the equivalence problem of third order ODEs and a pair of second order of PDEs under point transformations. We obtained from first principles the null tetrads, the conformal metric and the so called normal metric connection associated with these equations. These results complement and give a more clear understanding about the origin of the conformal geometry underlying these differential equations.

In particular, it follows from this construction that every conformal geometry of a space-time in three or four dimensions is contained in a subclass of differential equations defined by the vanishing of a relative invariant know as the Wünschmann invariant. In this particular case the tetrads associated to the two PDEs are related by a conformal transformation and thus, the conformal structure is unique.

It is interesting and surprising at the same time to see that general relativity, or more precisely conformal gravity, is contained in a special subclass of differential equations, i.e.,

those with a vanishing Wünschmann invariant. Note that from this point of view the space-time emerges as the solution space of the differential equations. Clearly this is a non standard prescription of general relativity.

One might ask what is the physical meaning of these starting differential equations on a fiducial space. At least in NSF we know the answer. The intersection of a future light cone from a point of an asymptotically flat space-time with the null boundary is called a light cone cut of null infinity. It can be shown that this cut satisfies the differential equations presented in this work and the points of the fiducial space are the points of the null boundary [1]. Using these results we can easily show that solutions of the light cone cut equation defined up to point or contact transformations on the null boundary yield a unique conformal structure on the space-time.

Finally, it would be very interesting to investigate all branches of the equivalence problem associated to these equations. In this way we could find all symmetries of these ODEs and PDEs, and we have at our disposal a powerful technique to generate new solutions to these equations from given known solutions.

7 Acknowledgments

E.G. M.I. and C.N.K. would like to thank CONICET for support.

References

- [1] C. N. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Theory of Light Cone Cuts of Null Infinity*, J. Math. Phys., **24**, 2481 (1983).
- [2] S. Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Differential Geometry from Differential Equations*, Communications in Mathematical Physics, **223**, 383 (2001).
- [3] E. Gallo, C. Kozameh, T. Newman, K. Perkins, *Cartan Normal Conformal Connections from Pairs of 2nd Order PDE's*, Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 4063 (2004).
- [4] D. Forni, C. Kozameh, M. Iriondo, *Null surface formulation in 3D*, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 5517 (2000).
- [5] E. Cartan, *La Geometria de las Ecuaciones Diferenciales de Tercer Orden*, Rev. Mat. Hispano-Amer. **4**, 1, (1941).
- [6] P. Nurowski, *Conformal connection and equivalence problem for third order ODEs*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, **17**, N20, 2770 (2002).
- [7] P. Olver, *Equivalence, Invariants and Symmetry*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1995).
- [8] P. Nurowski, *Differential equations and conformal structures*, math.DG/0406400.

- [9] S. Neut, *Implantation et nouvelles applications de la méthode d'équivalence de Cartan*, P.H.D thesis, L'Université et Technologies de Lille I, (2003).
- [10] S-S. Chern, *The Geometry of the Differential Equation $y''' = F(x, y, y', y'')$* , in Selected Papers, Springer-Verlag, (1978), original (1940).
- [11] G. Grebot, *The characterization of third order ordinary differential equations admitting a transitive fiber-preserving point symmetry group*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **206**, no. 2, 364, (1997)
- [12] K. Wünschmann, *Über Berührungsbedingungen bei Integralkurven von Differentialgleichungen*, Inaug. Dissert., Teubner, Leipzig (1905).
- [13] S. Frittelli, N. Kamran, E.T. Newman, *Differential Equations and Conformal Geometry*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **43** , 133 (2002).
- [14] S.Frittelli, C.Kozameh, E T.Newman, P. Nurowski, *Cartan Normal Conformal Connections from Differential Equations*, Class. Quantum Grav. **19**, 5235 (2002).
- [15] S.Frittelli, C. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *Dynamics of Light-Cone Cuts of Null Infinity*, Phys. Rev. D. **56**, 4729 (1997).
- [16] S. Frittelli, C. N. Kozameh, E.T. Newman, *GR via Characteristic Surfaces*, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 4984 (1995).
- [17] K. P. Tod, *Einstein-Weyl spaces and third-order differential equations*, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 5572 (2000).